

FROM WASHINGTON.

The Proposed Expulsion of Senator

Saulsbury.

HIS DEMEANOR YESTERDAY

Nothing will Satisfy Him but Somebody's Life.

GEN. BURNside TENDERS HIS RESIGNATION.

The President Declines to Accept it.

U. S. SENATOR.

The Albany correspondent of *The N. Y. Times* gives the following as a list of the Republicans whose names have been suggested as those of possible candidates for U. S. Senator from the State:

"Ex-Gov. Morgan, the Hon. J. S. Wadsworth, the Hon. J. Raymond, the Hon. Preston King, the Hon. Curtis Merven, the Hon. Wm. M. Evarts, the Hon. D. Dudley Field, the Hon. C. B. Stodwick."

—Here is a strong array of good names, and we believe it exhaustive. At least, we have heard no others, save one in the following from *The Herald's* correspondent:

"If Mr. Callicot's vote will decide that question, and it is more probable than not, I am satisfied that it will be cast with the Republicans."

It is almost impossible that after all the question of going into joint ballot may be postponed for a few days, and turn on the power manifested by the Republicans for Senator. Should a conservative Republican like Morgan, Evarts, or James M. Cook, be nominated, it will not be necessary for Callicot's vote to decide the question."

In what sense are Messrs. Morgan, Evarts, and Cook "conservative Republicans" that the others above named are not? Are they more Conservative than President Lincoln and the Republican majority in either House of Congress? If not, what is meant by the term "Conservative Republican"? Will those who employ it let us clearly understand?

THE MONITORS.

Letter from Capt. Ericsson.

To the Editor of *The N. Y. Tribune*.

Sir: The several paragraphs which have recently appeared on the alleged unfitness of the Monitors for sea service have produced great discouragement in the minds of loyal people. So numerous are the inquiries called forth by these paragraphs that it would be impossible for me to answer them. You will, therefore, much oblige me by admitting the following explanation into your columns.

The inference drawn from the fact that the Navy Department employs steam tugs to accompany and assist the Monitors is quite erroneous, the object being simply to guard against accidents. The Secretary of the Navy would incur a heavy responsibility if at this crisis he omitted to adopt every precaution within his power to place the iron-clads at his disposal safely at the points where they are needed. In refutation of the unfavorable opinions expressed in regard to the sea-going qualities of the Monitors, I need only present the following statements of the commander of the Wachusett, the only officer who has thoroughly tested the new system in heavy weather.

Extract from a letter of Capt. Rodgers, addressed to the Assistant Secretary of the Navy:

"On Tuesday night, when off Gloucester Shoals, we had a very severe gale from E. N. E., with a very heavy sea, made confused and dangerous by the proximity of the land. The waves I measured after the sea staved; I found them 22 feet high. They were certainly seen far higher in the midst of the storm."

THE ALLEGED INTERFERENCE WITH GEN. BURNside'S PLANS.

The Committee on the Conduct of the War has commenced an investigation, under Senator Wilson's resolution of inquiry, relative to the alleged interference of subordinate officers with Gen. Burnside's plan for a forward movement of the army. Major-General Park, Gen. Burnside's Chief of Staff, was examined today.

The Senate Finance Committee, so far from having decided to report a substitute for the House Nine Hundred Million bill, has not had that bill under consideration at all, and has held no consultation whatever upon it.

THE ORGANIZATION OF COLORED UNIONISTS.

The policy of organizing colored Unionists for military service has at last got public and official recognition. The following order is one of the fruits of the recent vigil of eminent Massachusetts Republicans to this city:

AN ORDINANCE. Washington City, Jan. 20, 1863.

Ordered, that Gov. Andrew of Massachusetts will call up his forces, to raise such number of volunteers, companies of artillerists for duty in the forts of Massachusetts and elsewhere, and such corps of infantry for the various military services as may find convenient, said volunteers to be enlisted for three years or unless sooner discharged, and may include persons of African descent, organized into separate corps. He will make the usual recruitments, regulate ranks, appointments, supplies, evolutions, arms, and equipments, and recruit beyond those necessary for the command of such reduced number.

EDWIN M. STANTON, Secretary of War.

THE CASE OF GEN. STONE.

A new Court has been organized for the trial of Gen. Chase, P. Stone and others daily with closed doors.

MAJOR-GENERAL'S NOMINATED.

Yesterday the President sent to the Senate the names of Frank P. Blair and Abner Doubleday as Major-Generals of Volunteer Services.

THE DUTY ON PAPER.

The Committee of Ways and Means are discussing the propriety of reducing the duty upon paper, but have as yet arrived at no conclusion.

JUDGE OF THE CRIMINAL COURT.

Andrew Wylie was nominated as Judge of the Criminal Court of the District to-day vice Judge Crawford deceased.

A TIMELY ORDER.

Secretary Wells has sent a letter to the heads of bureaus in the Navy Department as follows: "You will direct clerks and others in your bureaus not to give any information relative to the transaction in your bureaus to any person outside of the Department without your permission."

THE NEW-ORLEANS CONGRESSIONAL ELECTION.

The House Committee on elections although in session every day has not yet come to any conclusion with respect to the right of Messrs. Flanders and Hahn to seats in the House. There are seven cases before the Committee, and it is doubtful if they will all be disposed of before the close of the session.

THE ENLISTMENT OF LOYAL AFRICANS—OPTIMUM IN THE HOUSE.

Before 1 o'clock this afternoon Thad. Stevens' bill for the re-enforcement of the Union army by loyal Africans at such time and in such numbers as shall seem good to the Commander-in-Chief, was taken up, and, after half an hour's talk, voted to a vote. At 10 o'clock this evening the Clerk, whose voice is nearly dilatory, is still calling the Yeas and Nays upon dilatory motions made by Vandalism and friends. The Speaker has retired, and Salviular

Cofax is discharging his duties with efficiency and good humor.

There has been no serious excitement, and but once (between nine and ten) was there the slightest relief to the monotony of the proceedings. That was occasioned by Vandigham, who insisted that the Chair should entertain an appeal after it had been decided out of order. Loud cries of "order!" were heard upon both sides of the House. Members began to stream down the aisle toward the Clerk's desk, but the withdrawal of the motion which occasioned the difficulty allayed the disturbance, and the soothing sound of the roll call was again heard without interruption. No inclination is perceptible and the best temper prevails. The Republicans outnumber their opponents two to one, and seem to be resolved not to adjourn until a vote has been made.

To the Associated Press.

WASHINGTON, Wednesday, Jan. 28, 1863.

NOMINATIONS CONFIRMED.

The Senate to-day confirmed the nomination of W. T. Otto of Indiana to be Assistant Secretary of the Interior; Charles A. Beebe, Receiver of Public Money at San Francisco, Cal.; Henry A. White, Surgeon-General for Iowa and Wisconsin.

CONSOLIDATION OF NEW-YORK REGIMENTS.

By a special order from the War Department the 162d New-York Volunteers has been consolidated with the 73d New-York Volunteers, and the officers of the former have been mustered out of service.

NAVAL ORDERS.

Acting Volunteer Lieut. D. P. Upton has been ordered to the command of the United States steamer Arizona.

Acting Asst-Surgeon S. H. Neil has been ordered to the same vessel.

THE POSTAL BILL.

Senator Collamer's Postal bill empowers the Postmaster-General to appoint all Postmasters whose annual salary is less than \$1,000; requires Postmasters, Mail Agents, and persons employed in the General Post Offices, to make oath to their fidelity and loyalty; awards compensation in five classes—the first between \$4,000 and \$6,000, the second between \$3,000 and \$4,000, the third between \$2,000 and \$3,000, the fourth between \$1,000 and \$2,000, the fifth less than \$100; the salaries to be assigned by the Postmaster-General from a comparison of average salaries of the four preceding years, and may be re-adjusted not oftener than once in two—these salaried take effect in July next. In the offices of the first and second classes, it is proposed to allow a just and reasonable sum for the cost of rent, fuel, lights, clerks, &c., and also to the offices of other classes, which are distributing offices for clerks hire; all box rents and other perquisites to be accounted for by the Postmaster; the box rents and postage always prepaid; oath made to quarterly returns on penalty of perjury; the Postmaster-General to regulate the periods during which dead letters shall remain in any post-office; those containing valuable inclosures to be registered in the Department, and when not returnable to writers or persons written to shall be included in receipts and be subject to reclamation; letter carriers to be appointed, and receiving offices and boxes established and provided made for carrying daily newspapers and small packages; the rate of half ounce letters, three cents; drop letters, two cents, and no carrier's fee allowed; registered letters to pay a fee of 25 cents; newspaper postage on weekly, per quarter, five cents; semi-weekly, ten cents; tri-weekly fifteen cents; dailies, twenty cents; forty cents to be the standard weight of periodicals; small papers sent in packages to one address charged at the same rate.

The dementor of the Republican Senators under the provocation of the occasion is praised.

It is most improbable that after all the question of going into joint ballot may be postponed for a few days, and turn on the power manifested by the Republicans for Senator. Should a conservative Republican like Morgan, Evarts, or James M. Cook, be nominated, it will not be necessary for Callicot's vote to decide the question."

—Here is a strong array of good names, and we believe it exhaustive. At least, we have heard no others, save one in the following from *The Herald's* correspondent:

"If Mr. Callicot's vote will decide that question, and it is more probable than not, I am satisfied that it will be cast with the Republicans."

It is almost impossible that after all the question of going into joint ballot may be postponed for a few days, and turn on the power manifested by the Republicans for Senator. Should a conservative Republican like Morgan, Evarts, or James M. Cook, be nominated, it will not be necessary for Callicot's vote to decide the question."

—Here is a strong array of good names, and we believe it exhaustive. At least, we have heard no others, save one in the following from *The Herald's* correspondent:

"If Mr. Callicot's vote will decide that question, and it is more probable than not, I am satisfied that it will be cast with the Republicans."

It is almost impossible that after all the question of going into joint ballot may be postponed for a few days, and turn on the power manifested by the Republicans for Senator. Should a conservative Republican like Morgan, Evarts, or James M. Cook, be nominated, it will not be necessary for Callicot's vote to decide the question."

—Here is a strong array of good names, and we believe it exhaustive. At least, we have heard no others, save one in the following from *The Herald's* correspondent:

"If Mr. Callicot's vote will decide that question, and it is more probable than not, I am satisfied that it will be cast with the Republicans."

—Here is a strong array of good names, and we believe it exhaustive. At least, we have heard no others, save one in the following from *The Herald's* correspondent:

"If Mr. Callicot's vote will decide that question, and it is more probable than not, I am satisfied that it will be cast with the Republicans."

—Here is a strong array of good names, and we believe it exhaustive. At least, we have heard no others, save one in the following from *The Herald's* correspondent:

"If Mr. Callicot's vote will decide that question, and it is more probable than not, I am satisfied that it will be cast with the Republicans."

—Here is a strong array of good names, and we believe it exhaustive. At least, we have heard no others, save one in the following from *The Herald's* correspondent:

"If Mr. Callicot's vote will decide that question, and it is more probable than not, I am satisfied that it will be cast with the Republicans."

—Here is a strong array of good names, and we believe it exhaustive. At least, we have heard no others, save one in the following from *The Herald's* correspondent:

"If Mr. Callicot's vote will decide that question, and it is more probable than not, I am satisfied that it will be cast with the Republicans."

—Here is a strong array of good names, and we believe it exhaustive. At least, we have heard no others, save one in the following from *The Herald's* correspondent:

"If Mr. Callicot's vote will decide that question, and it is more probable than not, I am satisfied that it will be cast with the Republicans."

—Here is a strong array of good names, and we believe it exhaustive. At least, we have heard no others, save one in the following from *The Herald's* correspondent:

"If Mr. Callicot's vote will decide that question, and it is more probable than not, I am satisfied that it will be cast with the Republicans."

—Here is a strong array of good names, and we believe it exhaustive. At least, we have heard no others, save one in the following from *The Herald's* correspondent:

"If Mr. Callicot's vote will decide that question, and it is more probable than not, I am satisfied that it will be cast with the Republicans."

—Here is a strong array of good names, and we believe it exhaustive. At least, we have heard no others, save one in the following from *The Herald's* correspondent:

"If Mr. Callicot's vote will decide that question, and it is more probable than not, I am satisfied that it will be cast with the Republicans."

—Here is a strong array of good names, and we believe it exhaustive. At least, we have heard no others, save one in the following from *The Herald's* correspondent:

"If Mr. Callicot's vote will decide that question, and it is more probable than not, I am satisfied that it will be cast with the Republicans."

—Here is a strong array of good names, and we believe it exhaustive. At least, we have heard no others, save one in the following from *The Herald's* correspondent:

"If Mr. Callicot's vote will decide that question, and it is more probable than not, I am satisfied that it will be cast with the Republicans."

—Here is a strong array of good names, and we believe it exhaustive. At least, we have heard no others, save one in the following from *The Herald's* correspondent:

"If Mr. Callicot's vote will decide that question, and it is more probable than not, I am satisfied that it will be cast with the Republicans."

—Here is a strong array of good names, and we believe it exhaustive. At least, we have heard no others, save one in the following from *The Herald's* correspondent:

"If Mr. Callicot's vote will decide that question, and it is more probable than not, I am satisfied that it will be cast with the Republicans."

—Here is a strong array of good names, and we believe it exhaustive. At least, we have heard no others, save one in the following from *The Herald's* correspondent:

"If Mr. Callicot's vote will decide that question, and it is more probable than not, I am satisfied that it will be cast with the Republicans."

—Here is a strong array of good names, and we believe it exhaustive. At least, we have heard no others, save one in the following from *The Herald's* correspondent:

"If Mr. Callicot's vote will decide that question, and it is more probable than not, I am satisfied that it will be cast with the Republicans."

—Here is a strong array of good names, and we believe it exhaustive. At least, we have heard no others, save one in the following from *The Herald's* correspondent:

"If Mr. Callicot's vote will decide that question, and it is more probable than not, I am satisfied that it will be cast with the Republicans."

—Here is a strong array of good names, and we believe it exhaustive. At least, we have heard no others, save one in the following from *The Herald's* correspondent:

"If Mr. Callicot's vote will decide that question, and it is more probable than not, I am satisfied that it will be cast with the Republicans."

—Here is a strong array of good names, and we believe it exhaustive. At least, we have heard no others, save one in the following from *The Herald's* correspondent:

"If Mr. Callicot's vote will decide that question, and it is more probable than not, I am satisfied that it will be cast with the Republicans."

—Here is a strong array of good names, and we believe it exhaustive. At least, we have heard no others, save one in the following from *The Herald's* correspondent:

"If Mr. Callicot's vote will decide that question, and it is more probable than not, I am satisfied that it will be cast with the Republicans."

—Here is a strong array of good names, and we believe it exhaustive. At least, we have heard no others, save one in the following from *The Herald's* correspondent:

"If Mr. Callicot's vote will decide that question, and it is more probable than not, I am satisfied that it will be cast with the Republicans."

—Here is a strong array of good names, and we believe it exhaustive. At least, we have heard no others, save one in the following from *The Herald's* correspondent:

"If Mr. Callicot's vote will decide that question, and it is more probable than not, I am satisfied that it will be cast with the Republicans."

—Here is a strong array of good names, and we believe it exhaustive. At least, we have heard no others, save one in the following from *The Herald's* correspondent:

"If Mr. Callicot's vote will decide that question, and it is more probable than not, I am satisfied that it will be cast with the Republicans."

—Here is a strong array of good names, and we believe it exhaustive. At least, we have heard no others, save one in the following from *The Herald's* correspondent: